GURU NANAK KHALSA COLLEGE FOR WOMEN



Session: 2021-22

6.3.5 Institutions Performance Appraisal system for teaching and Non-teaching Staff

Supporting Document

Dr. Maneeta Kahlon Principal

Dr. MANEETA KAHLON
Principal
G.N.Kh. College for Women
Gujarkhen Campus, Model Town,
Eudhiana.

Institution Performance Appraisal System: Sample of Assessment Performa of Teaching Staff

SELF ASSESSMENT PROFORMA FOR COLLEGE LECTURERS

(To be filled in every year towards the close of academic session)

Year of Assessment	

I	Basic I	<u>nformation</u>							
i)	Name o	of the College	: Guru Nan	nak Khalsa Col	llege for Women, Gujarkhan				
			Campus,	Gujarkhan Ro	ad, Model Town, Ludhiana				
ii)	Region	in which situ	ated/urban/r	ural : <u>Urt</u>	<u>oan</u>				
iii)	Name o	of the universi	ty to which	it is affiliated: <u>I</u>	Panjab University, Chandigarh.				
iv)	Name of the Lecturer:								
v)	Qualific	cation of the I	ecturer:						
vi)	Subject	& Faculty	:						
vii)	Designa	ation	:						
viii)	Date of	Birth	:						
ix)	Date of	Joining of the	e College: _						
x)	Teachir	ng Experience	at the Colle	ege Level: Yea	arMonth				
2.	Course	Taught and V	Vork Load N	Number of Hour	rs per week:				
Lectu	res Tutorials			Practicals	Titles of Courses				
		/Perceptoria	als		taught				
i)	Uno	lergraduate							
ii)	Pos	tgraduate							
3. Tea	aching Me	ethods applied	l :						
(Nam	e and des	cribe new tea	ching metho	ods used if any)	(besides lecture method) i.e.				
i)				d bibliography _					
ii)		_							
iii									

v)	Use of audio visual aids
4. Con	tribution to COSIP & DOHSSIP SCHEME, if it exists in the college or through
U.B.P.	
5. i)	Teaching Methods
ii)	Evaluation Techniques
iii)	Courses development etc.
5.	Academic and Professional Growth: (During the year)
	i) Research qualification acquired:-
	ii) Research Projects undertaken:-
	iii) Research papers published indicating Titles and names of journals in which published:
	iv) Guidance rendered to Research Scholars:
	v) Participation in seminars workshops and conference during the year.
	vi) Participation in orientation Programme Refresher courses etc
	vii) Any other type of training
7.	Participation in Extra – curricular activity
	a. Extra curricular activities Debates, Cultural activities counseling to
	Students, Planning forum, NSS, NCC, Scouting etc.
	b. Service to community Adult-Education.
	Extension service etc.

8.	-	Help in college administration by membership of various committees such as Discipline Committee Admission Committees, Students Welfare Committee								
	etc									
9.	Allotted	l Any other examination wor	rk such as conduct/evaluation by the							
	Univers	sity / Board .								
10.	Any oth	ner information about this co	ntribution (Not conveyed above) relevant to							
	proper a	assessment of activities.								
	Evaluat	ion of the senior most lectur	er of the Deptt. or head of the Deptt. /							
	Principa	al.								
	Item	Factual Verification	Evaluation							
		Correct Exaggerated	Excellent very good Good Average Poor.							
	1.									
	2.									
	3.									
	4.									
	5.									
	6.									
	7.									
	8									
	9.									
	10. Gene	eral observation								
		Si	gnature of the head Deptt.							
		Inc	charge of the Deptt							
	Obsarva	tion of the Principal	Signature of the Principal							
	Ouserva	nion of the Filherpai	Signature of the rifficipal							

Institution Performance Appraisal System: Sample of Assessment Performa of Non-Teaching Staff



Guru Nanak Khalsa College for Women

Gujarkhan Campus, Model Town, Ludhiana

Performance Appraisal Form for Non-Teaching Staff 2021-2022

1. Name of the Faculty :

2. Position Title :

3. Date of Entry into Service :

4. No. of Years in Service :

5. Date of Retirement :

6. Qualification :

7. Details of Current Responsibilities :

I. PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE

Sl. No.		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
1	Knowledge of rules, regulationand procedure					
2	Ability to organize work andcarry it out					
3	Ability and willingness to takeup additional load in times of exigencies					
4	Creativity and innovation					
5	Ability to learn and performnew duties					
7	you possess good knowledge(theory, hands on)for all aspects of the job to perform your job functions Satisfactorily?					

II. PERFORMANCE

Sl. No.		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
1	Awareness of policies and procedures of the institution?					
2	Maintenance of Files/Records					
3	Accuracy & Speed of work					
4	Neatness & tidiness of work					
5	Completion of work onschedule					
6	Diligence and sense ofresponsibility					

III. PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS

Sl. No.		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
1	Attendance					
2	Punctuality					
3	Discipline					
4	Integrity and behaviour					

IV. ATTITUDE TOWARDS CO-WORKERS

SI. No.		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
1	Cooperation with yourcolleagues?					
2	Mutual motivation with your colleagues?					

V. ATTITUDE TOWARDS PUBLIC

Sl. No.		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
1	Cooperation to the needs of the public(Parents, Business Associates, Vendors, Well Wishers of the College)?					
3	Rapport with the public whenyou interact with them?					

VI. STAFF/STUDENT RELATIONS

Sl. No.		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
1	Ability to engage, motivate, supervise, and effectively work in the interest of students?					
3	Responsibility towards your tasks/ areas of managementassigned to?					

Declaration

Ιŀ	nerel	by (dec]	lare	that	the	info	ormati	on	provid	led	is	true	to	the	best	ofmy	know	led	ige.
----	-------	------	------	------	------	-----	------	--------	----	--------	-----	----	------	----	-----	------	------	------	-----	------

Place:-

Date:- Name and Signature of the non-teaching staff

Countersigned by the Head of the Institution

Institution Performance Appraisal System: Sample of Assessment Performa of Teaching Staff

UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSIONNOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 11th July, 2016

No.F.1-2/2016(PS/Amendment) -In exercise of the powers conferred under clauses (e) and (g) of sub-section (1) of Section 26 of University Grants Commission Act, 1956 (3 of 1956), the University Grants Commission hereby frames the following amendment Regulations, namely:-

1. Short title, application and commencement:

- 1.1 These Regulations may be called the University Grants Commission (Minimum Qualifications for Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education) (4th Amendment), Regulations, 2016.
- 1.2 They shall apply to every University established or incorporated by or under a Central Act, Provincial Act or a State Act, every institution including a constituent or an affiliated College recognized by the Commission, in consultation with the University concerned under clause (f) of Section 2 of the University Grants Commission Act, 1956 (3 of 1956) and every Institution Deemed to be a University under Section 3 of the said Act.
- 1.3 They shall come into force with immediate effect from the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.
- 2. The following regulations in the University Grants Commission (Minimum qualifications for appointment of teachers and other academic staff in Universities and Colleges and other measures for the maintenance of standards in higher education) Regulations, 2010 shall stand amended and be read as under:-

Regulation	Existing provisions in Principal Regulations on Minimum Qualifications for	Amended provisions in principal Regulations on Minimum Qualifications for
	Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education, 2010	Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education, 2010
3.4.1	A relaxation of 5% may be provided at the graduate and Master's level for the Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/Differently-abled (physically and visually differently-abled) categories for the purpose of eligibility and for assessing good academic records during direct recruitment to teaching positions. The eligibility marks of 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) and the relaxation of 5% to the categories mentioned above are permissible, based on only the qualifying marks without including any grace mark procedures.	A relaxation of 5% may be provided at the graduate and Masters level for the Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/Differently-abled (physically and visually differently-abled) /Other Backward Classes (OBC) (Non-creamy layer) categories for the purpose of eligibility and for assessing good academic records during direct recruitment to teaching positions. The eligibility marks of 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) and the relaxation of 5% to the categories mentioned above are permissible, based on only the qualifying marks without including any grace mark procedures.
8.2.1 of Schedule for clause 6.8.0	The posts of Vice-Chancellor shall carry a fixed pay of Rs.75,000/- alongwith a special pay of Rs.5,000/- per month. All other eligibility and facilities for the Vice-Chancellor as provided in the Act/Statutes of the University concerned shall be applicable besides the pay.	The post of Vice-Chancellor shall carry a fixed pay of Rs.75,000/- alongwith a special allowance of Rs.5,000/- per month. All other eligibility and facilities for the Vice-Chancellor as provided in the Act/Statutes of the University concerned shall be applicable besides the pay.
5.1.6 (d)	The term of appointment of the College Principal shall be FIVE years with eligibility for reappointment for one more term only after a similar Selection Committee process.	The term of appointment of the College Principal shall be five years with eligibility for reappointment for one more term only after a similar Selection Committee process which shall take into account an external peer review, its recommendations and its outcomes. The framework of the external peer review shall be specified by the UGC.
6.0.5(i)	Besides the indexed publications documented by various discipline-specific databases, the University concerned shall draw through committee(s) of subject experts and ISBN/ISSN experts: (a) a comprehensive list of National/Regional level journals of quality in the concerned subject(s); and (b) a comprehensive list of Indian language journals/periodicals/official publication volumes of language bodies and upload them on the University website which are to be updated periodically.	The University shall identify the journals subject-wise through subject expert committees and forward the recommendations to UGC in the format prescribed by UGC for approval of the UGC Standing Committee. The journals approved from this list, by the UGC Standing Committee, shall be included in the "List of Journals" notified by the UGC. The UGC Standing Committee shall give its recommendations within 60 working days of the receipt of the list from the University. The UGC Standing Committee may also, suomotu, recommend journals for inclusion in the "List of Journals".

3. The proviso prescribed under Regulation 3.3.1, 4.4.1, 4.4.2, 4.4.2.2, 4.4.2.3, 4.5.3 and 4.6.3 in the University Grants Commission (Minimum qualifications for appointment of teachers and other academic staff in Universities and Colleges and other measures for the maintenance of standards in higher education) (3th Amendment) Regulations, 2016 regarding exemption to the candidates registered for Ph.D. programme prior to July 11, 2009 shall stand amended and be read as under:-

"Provided further, the award of degree to candidates registered for the M.Phil/Ph.Dprogramme prior to July 11, 2009, shall be governed by the provisions of the then existing Ordinances/Bylaws/Regulations of the Institutions awarding the degree and the Ph.D candidates shall be exempted from the requirement of NET/SLET/SET for recruitment and appointment of Assistant Professor or equivalent positions in Universities/Colleges/Institutions subject to the fulfillment of the following conditions:-

a) Ph.D. degree of the candidate awarded in regular mode only;

- b) Evaluation of the Ph.D. thesis by at least two external examiners;
- c) Open Ph.D. viva voce of the candidate had been conducted;
- d) Candidate **has**published two research papers from his/her Ph.D. work out of which at least one must be in a refereed journal;
- e) Candidate **has** made at least two presentations in conferences/seminars, based on his/her Ph.D work.
 - (a) to (e) as above are to be certified by the Vice-Chancellor/Pro-Vice-Chancellor/Dean(Academic Affairs)/Dean(University instructions)."
- **4.** The second proviso prescribed under Regulation 6.0.1 in the University Grants Commission (Minimum qualifications for appointment of teachers and other academic staff in Universities and Colleges and other measures for the maintenance of the standards in higher education) (**2**nd **Amendment**) Regulations, 2013 shall be substituted with the following: -

"Provided also that the API score claim of each of the sub-categories in the Category III (Research and Academic Contributions) shall not have a cap except for the sub-category of invited lectures/papers."

As a consequence, the table at Regulation 6.0.1 of the University Grants Commission (Minimum qualifications for appointment of teachers and other academic staff in Universities and Colleges and other measures for the maintenance of the standards in higher education) (2^{nd} Amendment) Regulations, 2013 stands deleted.

- 5. Student Feedback is an integral part of the institutional and academic development of higher educational institutions and in fostering quality. Student feedback and teacher response plays a catalytic role towards improvement in teaching-learning and institutional development. Feedback from students on teaching, delivery, methodology and pedagogy is pivotal with a view to enhancing clarity of concepts, subject understanding and developing and deepening an interest in the academic discipline. Universities and Colleges should encourage teachers to assist students in providing constructive feedback on teaching-learning in order to enhance quality education and in responding to the feedback.
- **6.** Tables-I,II(A),II(B),III,IV,V(A),V(B),VI,VII,VIII(A), VIII(B) and IX of Appendix-III of the University Grants Commission (Minimum qualifications for appointment of teachers and other academic staff in Universities and Colleges and other measures for the maintenance of standards in higher education) (**3thAmendment**) Regulations, 2016 shall be substituted with Appendix-III: Tables-I,II(A),II(B),III,IV,V(A),V(B),VI,VII,VIII(A), VIII(B) and IX appended to these 4thAmendment Regulations.

Prof. (Dr.) JASPAL SINGH SANDHU, Secy. [ADVT III/4/Exty./113(165)]

APPENDIX – III: TABLE I

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (API) FOR CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) PROMOTIONS FOR ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND PROFESSOR AND FOR DIRECT RECRUITMENT OF ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND PROFESSOR IN UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES.

	Direct Teaching Hours per week
Assistant Professor	16
Associate Professor	14
Professor	14

Based on the teacher's self-assessment, API scores are proposed for (a) teaching related activities; domain knowledge; (b) participation in examination and evaluation; and (c) contribution to innovative teaching, new courses etc. The minimum API score required by teachers from this category is different for different levels of promotion. The self- assessment score should be based on objectively verifiable records. It shall be finalized by the screening cum evaluation / selection committee. Universities may detail the activities, in case institutional specificities require, adjust the weightages without changing the minimum total API scores required under this category.

CATEGORY I: TEACHING, LEARNING AND EVALUATION RELATED ACTIVITIES

Category	Nature of Activity	Assistant Professor		Associate Professor		Professor	
		Max.	Actual Score	Max.	Actual Score	Max.	Actual Score
		Score		Score		Score	
I	a. Direct Teaching	70	Actual hours	60	Actual hours	60	Actual hours
			spent per		spent per		spent per

		academic year ÷7.5		academic year ÷7.75		academic year ÷7.75
b. Examination duties (question paper setting, Invigilation, evaluation of answer scripts) as per allotment	20	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷10	20	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷10	10	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷10
c. Innovative Teaching - learning methodologies, updating of subject contents/courses, mentoring etc.	10	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷10	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷10	20	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷10

Note:

- 1. Direct Teaching 16/14/14 hours per week include the Lectures/Tutorials/Practicals /Project Supervision/Field Work. .
- 2. University may prescribe minimum cut-off, say 75%, below which no scores may be assigned in these sub-categories.
- 3.In consonance with established academic and teaching traditions, and with a view to reinforcing a student-centric and caring approach the teachers are encouraged to work with students, beyond the structure of classroom teaching. Indicatively, this could entail mentoring, guiding and counseling students. In particular teachers would be the best placed to identify and address the needs of students who may be differently abled, or require assistance to improve their academic performance, or to overcome a disadvantage. There are no prescribed hours for such efforts, measured either in weeks or months, or in the context and calculation of the API scores, these are nevertheless important and significant activities that could be carried out by teachers.

CATEGORY II: PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT, CO-CURRICULAR AND EXTENSION ACTIVITIES

Based on the teacher's self-assessment, Category II API scores are proposed for Professional development, cocurricular and extension activities; and related contributions. The minimum API required by teachers for eligibility for promotion is fixed in Table II (A). A list of items and scores is given below. The self-assessment score should be based on objectively verifiable records and shall be finalized by the screening cum evaluation committee for the promotion of Assistant Professor to higher grades and selection committee for the promotion of Assistant Professor to Associate Professor and Associate Professor to Professor and for direct recruitment of Associate Professor and Professor.

The model table below gives groups of activities and API scores. Universities may detail the activities or, in case institutional specificities require, adjust the weightages without changing the minimum total API score required under this category.

Cate- gory II	Nature of Activity	Maximum API Score	Actual score
a.	Student related co-curricular, extension and field based activities. (i) Discipline related co-curricular activities (e.g. remedial classes, career counselling, study visit, student seminar and other events.) (ii) Other co-curricular activities (Cultural, Sports, NSS, NCC etc.) (iii) Extension and dissemination activities (public /popular lectures/talks/seminars etc.)	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10
b.	Contribution to corporate life and management of the department and institution through participation in academic and administrative committees and responsibilities. i). Administrative responsibility (including as Dean / Principal / Chairperson / Convener / Teacher-in-charge/similar other duties that require regular office hrs for its discharge) (ii). Participation in Board of Studies, Academic and Administrative Committees	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10
c.	Professional Development activities (such as participation in seminars, conferences, short term training courses, industrial experience, talks, lectures	15	Actual hours spent per

in refresher / faculty development courses, dissemination and general articles	academic year
and any other contribution)	÷
	10

CATEGORY-III: RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS

Based on the teacher's self-assessment, API scores are proposed for research and academic contributions. The minimum API scores required for teachers from this category are different for different levels of promotion in universities and colleges. The self-assessment score shall be based on verifiable records and shall be finalized by the screening cum evaluation committee for the promotion of Assistant Professor to higher grades and Selection Committee for the promotion of Assistant Professor to Associate Professor and Frofessor and Professor and Professor.

Category	Activity	Faculty of Sciences / Engineering / Agriculture / Medical / Veterinary Sciences	Faculties of Languages / Humanities / Arts / Social Science / Library / Physical education / Management	Maximum score for University / College teacher*
III (A)	Research Papers	Refereed Journals as notified by the UGC#	Refereed Journals as notified by the UGC#	he 25 per Publication
	published in: Other Reputed Journals as		Other Reputed Journals as notified by the UGC #	d 10 per Publication
III (B)		Text/Reference, Books published by International Publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	Text/Reference Books, publish by International Publishers, we ISBN/ISSN number as approved the University and posted on website. The List will be intimat to UGC.	by 30 per Book for Single Author
	Publications other than journal articles	Subject Books, published by National level publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number or State / Central Govt. Publications as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	National level publishers, ISBN/ISSN number or State Central Govt. Publications approved by the University and	by 20 per Book for with Single Author a
	(books, chapters in books)	Subject Books, published by Other local publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	Subject Books, published by Oth local publishers, with ISBN/ISS number as approved by t University and posted on website. The List will be intimat to UGC.	SN Single Author he its
Chapters in Books, published by National and International level publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.		Chapters in Books, published by National and International level publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimate to UGC.	International –10 per Chapter National – 5 per Chapter	
III (C)	RESEARCH	PROJECTS		<u>.</u>
III (C) (i)	Sponsored Projects	(a) Major Projects with grants above Rs. 30 lakhs	Major Projects with grants above Rs. 5 lakhs	20 per Project
		(b) Major Projects with grants above Rs. 5 lakhs up to Rs. 30 lakhs	Major Projects with grants above Rs. 3 lakhs up to Rs. 5 lakhs	15 per Project
		(c) Minor Projects with grants above Rs. 1 lakh up to Rs. 5 lakhs	Minor Projects with grants above Rs. 1 lakh up to Rs. 3 lakhs	10 per Project
III (C) (ii)	Consultancy Projects	Amount mobilized with a minimum of Rs.10 lakhs	Amount mobilized with a minimum of Rs. 2 lakhs	10 for every Rs.10 lakhs and Rs.2 lakhs,

				respectively
III (C) (iii)	Projects Outcome / Outputs	Patent / Technology transfer / Product / Process	Major Policy document prepared for international bodies like WHO/UNO/UNESCO/UNICEF etc. Central / State Govt./Local Bodies	
III (D)	RESEARCH GU	IDANCE		
III(D)(i	M.Phil.	Degree awarded	Degree awarded	5 per candidate
III(D) (ii)	Ph.D.	Degree awarded / Thesis submitted	Degree awarded / Thesis submitted	15/10 per candidate
III E	Fellowships, Awa	ards and Invited lectures delivered	in conferences / seminars	
	E-IIhin-/	International Award/Fellowship from academic bodies	International Award / Fellowsh from academic bodies/association	
III(E) (i)	Fellowships/ Awards	National Award/Fellowship from academic bodies	National Award/Fellowship from academic bodies/associations	m 10 per Award / 10 per Fellowship
		State/University level Award from academic bodies	State/University level Award fro academic bodies/associations	om 5 Per Award
III(E)	Invited lectures /	International	International	7 per lecture /
(ii)	papers			5 per paper presented
		National level	National level	5 per lecture /
				3 per paper presented
		State/University level	State/University level	3 per lecture /
				2 per paper presented
	The score under t	his sub-category shall be restricted	1 to 20% of the minimum fixed for	r Category III for any
III(F)	Developme	nt of e-learning delivery process/m	naterial	10 per module

^{*}Wherever relevant to any specific discipline, the API score for paper in refereed journal would be augmented as follows: (i) paper with impact factor less than 1 - by 5 points; (ii) papers with impact factor between 1 and 2 by 10 points; (iii) papers with impact factor between 2 and 5 by 15 points; (iv) papers with impact factor between 5 and 10 by 20 points: (v) papers with impact factor above 10 by 25 points. The API for joint publications shall be calculated in the following manner: Of the total score for the relevant category of publication by the concerned teacher, the First and Principal / corresponding author /supervisor / mentor would share equally 70% of the total points and the remaining 30% would be shared equally by all other authors.

The University shall identify the journals subject-wise through subject expert committees and forward the recommendations to UGC in the format prescribed by UGC for approval of the UGC Standing Committee. The journals approved from this list, by the UGC Standing Committee, shall be included in the "List of Journals" notified by the UGC. The UGC Standing Committee shall give its recommendations within 60 working days of the receipt of the list from the University. The UGC Standing Committee may also, suo-moto, recommend journals for inclusion in the "List of Journals". The clause 6.0.5 (i) will be strictly followed by the University.

APPENDIX - III TABLE - II (A)

MINIMUM APIS AS PROVIDED IN APPENDIX - III TABLE I TO BE APPLIED FOR THE PROMOTION OF TEACHERS UNDER CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) IN UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENTS AND COLLEGES, AND WEIGHTAGES FOR EXPERT ASSESSMENT

		Assistant	Assistant	Assistant Professor Associate	Professor
Category	Activity	Professor /	Professor /	(Stage 3) to Assoc. Professor	(Stage 5) to
outegory	110011105	equivalent	equivalent	Professor/equivalent (Stage 4) to	(Stage 5) to

			cadres: (Stage 2 to Stage 3)	cadres (Stage 4)	Professor /equivalent cadres (Stage 5)	Professor (Stage 6)
I	Teaching-learning, Evaluation Related Activities	80/Year	80/year	75/year	70/year	70/year
П	Professional Development and Extension activities - Minimum score required to be assessed cumulatively	50 / Assessment period	50 / Assessment period	50 / Assessment period	50 / Assessment period	100 / Assessment period
III	Research and Academic Contributions- Minimum Score required - to be assessed cumulatively	20 / Assessment period	50 / Assessment period	75 / Assessment period	100 / Assessment period	400 / Assessment period
II + III	Minimum total API score under Categories II and III*	90 / Assessment period	120 / Assessment period	150 / Assessment period	180 / Assessment period	600 / Assessment period
IV	Expert Assessment System	Screening cum evaluation committee	Screening cum evaluation committee	Selection Committee	Selection Committee	Expert Committee
V	Percentage Distribution of Weightage Points in the Expert Assessment (Total weightage = 100. Minimum required for promotion is 50)	No separate points. Screening committee to verify API scores	No separate points. Screening Committee to verify API scores	30% - Research Contribution 50% - Assessment of domain knowledge & teaching practices. 20% - Interview performance	50% - Research Contribution. 30% - Assessment of domain knowledge & teaching practices. 20 % - Interview performance	Research Contribution. 50%- Performance evaluation and other credential by referral procedure

^{*} Teachers may score the balance of points from either Category II or Category III to achieve the minimum score required under Category II + III.

APPENDIX - III TABLE - II(B)

Minimum Scores for APIs for direct recruitment of teachers in university departments / Colleges and weightages in Selection Committees to be considered along with other specified eligibility qualifications stipulated in the Regulation.

	Assistant Profe	ssor Associate Professor (Stage 4)	Professor (Stage 5)	
	(Stage 1)			
Minimum API	Minimum	Consolidated API score requiremen	t Consolidated API sc	ore

Scores	Qualification as stipulated in these regulations	of 300 points from categories II & III of APIs (cumulative)	requirement of 400 points from categories II & III of APIs (cumulative)
Selection	a) Academic Record and	a) Academic Background (20%)	a) Academic Background (20%)
Committee criteria / weightages (Total Weightages = 100)	Research Performance (50%) b) Assessment of Domain Knowledge & Teaching	(400()	b) Research performance based on API score and quality of publications (40%).
	Skills (30%)	c) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and	c) Assessment of Domain knowledge
	c) Interview	Teaching Skills (20%)	and Teaching Skills (20%).
	performance (20%)	d) Interview performance: (20%)	d) Interview performance:(20%)

APPENDIX-III - TABLE: III MINIMUM ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND SERVICE REQUIREMENTS FOR PROMOTION OF TEACHERS IN UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

G 3.	D		
S.No.	Promotion of Teachers through CAS	Service requirement	Minimum Academic Performance Requirements and Screening/Selection Criteria
1	Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage 1 to Stage 2	Assistant Professor in Stage 1 and completed four years of service with Ph.D. or five years of service who are with M.Phil / PG Degree in Professional Courses such as LLM, M.Tech, M.V.Sc., M.D., or six years of service who are without Ph.D/M.Phil / PG Degree in Professional courses	 (i) Minimum cumulative API scores using PBAS scoring proforma developed by the UGC as per the norms provided in Table II (A). (ii) One Orientation and one Refresher / Research Methodology Course of 2/3 weeks duration. (iii) Screening cum Verification process for recommending promotion.
2.	Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage 2 to Stage 3	Assistant Professor with completed service of five years in Stage 2.	(i) Minimum cumulative API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the UGC as per the norms provided in Table II(A) (ii) One course / programme from among the categories of refresher courses, methodology workshops, Training, Teaching-Learning-Evaluation Technology Programmes, Soft Skills development Programmes and Faculty Development Programmes of 2/3 week duration.
			(iii) Screening cum Verification process for recommending promotion.
3.	Assistant Professor (Stage 3) to Associate	Assistant Professors with three years of completed service in Stage 3.	(i) Minimum cumulative API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the UGC as per the norms provided in Table II (A).
	Professor (Stage 4)		(ii) At least three publications in the entire period as Assistant Professor (twelve years). However, in the case of College teachers, an exemption of one publication may be given to M. Phil. holders and an exemption of two publications may be given to Ph. D. holders.
			(iii) One course / programme from among the categories of methodology workshops, Training, Teaching-Learning - Evaluation Technology Programmes, Soft Skills development Programmes and Faculty Development Programmes of minimum one week duration.
			(iv) A selection committee process as stipulated in the regulation and in Tables II(A).
4.	Associate Professor (Stage	Associate Professor with three years of completed	(i)Minimum cumulative API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the UGC as per the norms provided

	4) to Professor (Stage 5)	service in Stage 4.	in Table II (A). Teachers may combine two assessment periods (in Stages 2 and 3) to achieve minimum API scores, if required.
			(ii) A minimum of five publications since the period that the teacher is placed in stage 3.
			(iii) A selection committee process as stipulated in the regulation and in Tables II (A).
5.	Professor (Stage 5) to Professor (Stage 6).	Professor with ten years of completed service (universities only)	(i) Minimum cumulative API scores for the assessment period as per the norms provided in Table II (A). (ii) Additional credentials are to be evidenced by: (a) post-doctoral research outputs of high standard; (b) awards / honours / recognitions / patents and IPR on products and processes developed / technology transfer achieved; and (c) Additional research degrees like D.Sc., D.Litt., LL.D., etc.,
			(iii) A review process by an Expert Committee as stipulated in this regulation and in Tables II (A)

APPENDIX - III: TABLE IV

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (API) FOR CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) PROMOTIONS OF ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION & SPORTS AND FOR COLLEGE DIRECTOR OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION & SPORTS AND FOR DIRECT RECRUITMENT OF DEPUTY DIRECTOR AND DIRECTOR OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION & SPORTS IN UNIVERSITIES.

Direct Workload and weightage to be given to different levels of Physical Education Personnel

	Direct working hours per week	Weightage
Assistant Director of Physical Education	40	100
Deputy Director of Physical Education	36+4*	90
Director of Physical Education	32+8*	80

Based on the Physical Education Personnel's self-assessment, API scores are proposed for (a) Lecture cum practice based athlete / sports classes coaching and training related activities; (b) Organizing and conducting sports and games competitions and management related activities; and (c) upgradation of sports infrastructure and extension services etc. The minimum API score required by Physical Education Personnel from this category is different for different levels of promotion. The self assessment score should be based on objectively verifiable records. It shall be finalized by the screening cum evaluation / selection committee. Universities may detail the activities, in case institutional specificities require, adjust the weightages without changing the minimum total API scores required under this category.

*Hours spent on administrative responsibilities, innovation, upgradation of services, extension services etc. CATEGORY I: TEACHING, TRAINING, COACHING, SPORTS PERSON DEVELOPMENT AND SPORTS MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

Nature of Activity	Assistant Director / College Director		Deputy Director		Director	
	Max. Score		Max. Score	G	Max. Score	Actual Score
a) Lecture cum practice based athlete / sports classes, seminars undertaken as per allotted hours /organizing and conducting coaching camps / sports person development / training programmes (50 Points)	80	Actual hours spent per academic year	70	Actual hours spent per academic year	60	Actual hours spent per academic year
Identifying sports talents and Mentoring sports excellence among students (20 Points)		17.5		17.25		16.75
Development and maintenance of play fields, purchase and maintenance of other sports facilities (10 Points)						

b) Management of Physical Education & Sports Program for students (planning, executing and evaluating the policies in physical education & Sports) (10 Points) Organizing and conducting sports and games competitions at the International / National / State / Inter University/Inter Zonal Levels (10 Points)	10	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10	10	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10	10	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10
c) Upgradation of scientific and technological knowledge in Physical Education and Sports (10 Points) Extending services, sports facilities and training on holidays to the institutions and organizations (10 Points)	10	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷	10	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10	10	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷

CATEGORY II: PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT, CO-CURRICULAR AND EXTENSION ACTIVITIES

Based on the Physical Education Cadre's self-assessment, category II API scores are proposed for co-curricular and extension activities; and Professional development related contributions. A list of items and scores is given below. The self-assessment score should be based on objectively verifiable records and shall be finalized by the screening cum evaluation committee for the promotion of Assistant Director of Physical Education / College Director of Physical Education & Sports to higher grades and selection committee for the promotion of Assistant DPE&S to Deputy DPE&S and for direct recruitment of Deputy DPE&S and DPE&S.

The model table below gives groups of activities and API scores. Universities may detail the activities or, in case institutional specificities require, adjust the weightages without changing the minimum total API score required under this category.

Nature of Activity	Maximum API Score	Actual score
a) Student related co-curricular, extension and field based activities (i) Discipline related co-curricular activities (Cultural, Sports, NSS, NCC etc.) (various levels of intramural and extramural programmes) (ii) Extension and dissemination activities (public /popular lectures/talks/seminars etc.)	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10
b) Contribution to Corporate life and management of the sports units and institution through participation in sports and administrative committees and responsibilities(including as Principal / Director / Convener / similar other duties that require regular office hrs for its discharge)	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10
c) Professional Development activities (such as participation in seminars, conferences, short term training courses, camps & events, talks, lectures in refresher / faculty development courses, membership of associations, dissemination and general articles and any other contribution)	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10

CATEGORY-III: RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS

Based on the self-assessment, API scores are proposed for research and sports contributions. The minimum API scores required from this category are different for different levels of promotion in universities/colleges. The self-assessment score shall be based on verifiable records and shall be finalized by the screening cum evaluation committee for the promotion of Assistant Director of Physical Education & Sports to higher grades and Selection Committee for the promotion of Assistant Director of Physical Education & Sports to Deputy Director of Physical Education & Sports and for direct recruitment of Deputy Director of Physical Education & Sports.

I	Category	Activity	Faculties of Physical Education& Sports	Max.score for
				University/College DPE*

III (A)	Research	Refereed Journals as notified by the UGC#	25 per Publication
	Publications in	Other Reputed Journals as notified by the UGC#	10 per Publication
III (B)		Text/Reference Books, published by International Publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	
	Publications other than journa articles (books, chapters	with ISBN/ISSN number or State / Central Gov Publications as approved by the University and posted or its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	. Author
	in books)	Subject Books, published by Other local publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	15 per Book for Single Author
		Chapters in Books, published by National and Internationa level publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	International –10 per Chapter National – 5 per Chapter
III (C)	RESEARCH PRO	DJECTS	
III (C) (i)	Sponsored Projects	Major Projects with grants above Rs. 5 lakhs	20 per Project
		Major Projects with grants above Rs.3 lakhs up to Rs.5 lakhs	15 per Project
		Minor Projects with grants above Rs. 1 lakh up to Rs.3 lakhs	10 per Project
III (C)(ii)	Consultancy Projects	Amount mobilized with a minimum of Rs. 2 lakhs	10 for every Rs.2 lakhs
III (C)(iii)	Projects Outcome / Outputs	Major Policy document prepared for international bodies like WHO/UNO/UNESCO/UNICEF etc. Central / State Govt./Local Bodies	Major policy document of International bodies - 30 Central Government - 20, State Govt10 Local bodies - 5
III (D)	RESEARCH GUI	DANCE	
III(D)(i)	M.Phil.	Degree awarded	5 per candidate
III(D)(ii)	Ph.D.	Degree awarded / Thesis submitted	15 / 10 per candidate 10 per candidate
III E	Awards / Fellowsh	nips/Invited lectures delivered / papers presented in conference	ces / seminars
	Award / Fellowship	International Award/Fellowship from Govt./recognized International Sports Bodies/International Sports Organizations	15 per Award / 15 per Fellowship
III(E) (i)	Award / Fellowship	National Award/Fellowship from Govt./recognized National Sports Bodies/National Sports Organizations	10 per Award / 10 per Fellowship
	Award /Fellowship	State / University Award/Fellowship from Govt./recognized State Sports Bodies/State Sports Organizations	5 Per Award
III(E) (ii)	Invited lectures / papers	International	7 per lecture / 5 per paper presented
	presented	National level	5 per lecture / 3 per paper presented
		State/University level	3 per lecture / 2 per paper presented
	The score under the assessment period	his sub-category shall be restricted to 20% of the minimum fi	xed for Category III for any
III(E)	Developmen	t of e-learning delivery process/material	10 per module

(iii)

* Wherever relevant, the API score for paper in refereed journal would be augmented as follows: (i) paper with impact factor less than 1 - by 5 points; (ii) papers with impact factor between 1 and 2 by 10 points; (iii) papers with impact factor between 2 and 5 by 15 points; (iv) papers with impact factor between 5 and 10 by 20 points: (v) papers with impact factor above 10 by 25 points. The API for joint publications/books shall be calculated in the following manner: Of the total score for the relevant category of publication by the concerned teacher, the First and Principal / corresponding author /supervisor / mentor of the teacher would share equally 70% of the total points and the remaining 30% would be shared equally by all other authors.

The University shall identify the journals subject-wise through subject expert committees and forward the recommendations to UGC in the format prescribed by UGC for approval of the UGC Standing Committee. The journals approved from this list, by the UGC Standing Committee, shall be included in the "List of Journals" notified by the UGC. The UGC Standing Committee shall give its recommendations within 60 working days of the receipt of the list from the University. The UGC Standing Committee may also, suo-moto, recommend journals for inclusion in the "List of Journals". The clause 6.0.5 (i) will be strictly followed by the University.

APPENDIX - III TABLE - V (A)

MINIMUM APIS AS PROVIDED IN APPENDIX - III TABLE I TO BE APPLIED FOR THE CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) PROMOTION OF ASSISTANT/COLLEGE DIRECTOR AND DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND WEIGHTAGES FOR EXPERT ASSESSMENT IN SELECTION COMMITTEES, IN UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

Category	Activity	Assistant / College Director of Physical Education (Stage 1 to Stage 2)	Assistant / College Director of Physical Education (Stage 2 to Stage 3)	Assistant/College Director of Physical Education(Stage 3) to Deputy/College Director of Physical Education (Stage 4)	Deputy Director of Physical Education (Stage 4) to Director of Physical Education (Stage 5)
Ι	Teaching, training, coaching, sports person development and sports management activities	80/Year	80/year	75/year	70/year
П	Professional Development and Extension activities - Minimum score required to be assessed cumulatively	50/ Assessment period	50 / Assessment period	50 / Assessment period	50 / Assessment period
III	Research and Academic Contributions - Minimum score required - to be assessed cumulatively	20 / Assessment period	50 / Assessment period)	75 / Assessment period	100 / Assessment period
II + III	Minimum total API score under Categories II and III*	90 / Assessment period	120 / Assessment period)	150 / Assessment period	180 / Assessment period
	Expert Assessment System	Screening cum evaluation committee	Screening cum evaluation committee	Selection Committee	Selection Committee
V	Percentage Distribution of Weightage Points in the Expert Assessment (Total weightage = 100. Minimum required 50)	No separate points. Screening Committee to verify API scores	No separate points. Screening Committee to verify API scores	30%- Research contribution 50% - Assessment of domain knowledge & teaching practices. 20%- Interview performance	50%- Research contribution. 30%- Assessment of domain knowledge and teaching practices. 20 %- Interview

performance

APPENDIX - III TABLE - V(B)

Minimum Scores for APIs for direct recruitment of Physical Education Cadres in Universities / Colleges, and weightages in Selection Committees to be considered along with other specified eligibility qualifications stipulated in the Regulation.

	Assistant DPE (Stage 1)	Deputy DPE (Stage 4)	DPE (Stage 5)
Minimum API Scores	Minimum Qualification as stipulated in the regulations	Consolidated API score requirement of 300 points from categories II & III of APIs (cumulative)	Consolidated API score requirement of 400 points from categories II & III of APIs (cumulative)
Selection Committee criteria / weightages (Total Weightages = 100)	a) Track Record of championship won (30%) b) Sports and athletic skills (40%) c) Interview performance (30%)	a) Research papers (3 nos) evaluation:(40%) b) Organisational skills / Plans of sports (30%) c) Interview performance (30%)	a) Research papers (5 nos) evaluation (50%) b) Organisational track vision plan: (25%) c) Interview performance (25%)

APPENDIX-III - TABLE VI
MINIMUM ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND SERVICE REQUIREMENTS FOR PROMOTION OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION CADRES IN UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

Sl.No.	Promotion of Physical Education Cadres through CAS	Service (as prescribed by the MHRD Notification) requirement	Minimum Academic Performance Requirements and Screening/Selection Criteria
1	Assistant DPE/ College DPE to Assistant DPE (Senior Scale) / College DPE (Senior Scale) (Stage 1 to Stage 2)	Assistant DPE / College DPE completed four years of service in Stage 1 with Ph.D. or five years of service with M.Phil. or six years of service without Ph.D./ M.Phil	 (i) Minimum cumulative API scores using PBAS scoring proforma developed by the UGC as per the norms provided in Table V (A). (ii) One Orientation and one Refresher / Research Methodology Course of 3/4 weeks duration. (iii) Screening cum Verification process for recommending promotion.
2.	Assistant DPE (senior scale) / College DPE (senior scale) to Deputy DPE / Assistant DPE (selection grade) / College DPE (selection grade) (Stage 2 to Stage 3)	Assistant DPE (senior scale) College DPE (senior scale) with completed service of five years in Stage 2	(i) Minimum cumulative API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the UGC as per the norms provided in Table V(A) (ii) One course / programme from among the categories of refresher courses, methodology workshops, Training, Teaching-Learning-Evaluation Technology Programmes, Soft Skills development Programmes and Faculty Development Programmes of 3/4 week duration. (iii) Screening cum Verification process for recommending promotion.
3.	Assistant DPE (Selection Grade) / College DPE (Selection Grade) to Deputy DPE / College DPE (Selection Grade) (Stage 3 to Stage 4).	Assistant DPE (Selection Grade) / College DPE (Selection Grade) with three years of completed service in Stage 3.	 (i) Minimum cumulative API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the UGC as per the norms provided in Table V(A). (ii) At least three publications in the entire period as Assistant/College DPE (twelve years). However, in the case of College DPE, an exemption of one publication may be given to M. Phil. holders and an exemption of two publications may be given to Ph. D. holders. (iii) Evidence of having produced.teams / athletes

^{*} One may score the balance of points from either Category II or Category III to achieve the minimum score required under Category II + III.

			(iv) A selection committee process as stipulated in the regulation and in Tables $V(A)$.
4.	University DPE (Stage 5) (For universities only)	Deputy DPE in universities with three years of completed service in Stage 4.	 (i) Minimum cumulative API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the UGC as per the norms provided in Table V(A). Teachers may combine two assessment periods (in Stages 2 and 3) to achieve minimum API scores, if required. (ii) A minimum of five publications since the period the
			personnel is placed in stage 3 (iii) Evidence of having produced. teams / athletes
			(iv) A selection committee process as stipulated in the regulation and in Tables V(A).

Note: The explanatory note provided for Table IIA for CAS for teachers is also applicable for the Physical Director cadres as per the API score specified for this cadre.

APPENDIX- III TABLE VII

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (API) FOR PROMOTIONS OF ASSISTANT LIBRARIAN IN UNIVERSITIES / FOR COLLEGE LIBRARIAN UNDER CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) AND FOR DIRECT RECRUITMENT OF DEPUTY LIBRARIAN AND LIBRARIAN IN UNIVERSITIES.

Direct Work load and weightage to be given to different levels of Librarians

	Direct working hours per week	Weightage
Assistant Librarian/College Librarian	40	100
Deputy Librarian	36+4*	90
Librarian	32+8*	80

Based on the Librarian Cadre's self-assessment, API scores are proposed for (a) Library resources organization and maintenance of books, journals, reports, Development, organization and management of e-resources; User awareness and instruction programmes, (b) ICT and other new technologies' application for upgradation of library services and (c) Additional services such as extending library facilities on holidays, shelf order maintenance, library user manual, building and extending institutional library facilities to outsiders through external membership norms. The minimum API score required by Library Personnel from this category is different for different levels of promotion. The self assessment score should be based on objectively verifiable records. It shall be finalized by the screening cum evaluation / selection committee. Universities may detail the activities, in case institutional specificities require, adjust the weightages without changing the minimum total API scores required under this category.

*Hours spent on administrative responsibilities, innovation, upgradation of services, extension services etc.

CATEGORY I: Procurement, organization, and delivery of knowledge and information through Library services

Nature of Activity	Univ.Assistant Librarian/College Librarian		Deputy Librarian		Librarian	
	Max. Score	Actual Score	Max. Score	Actual Score	Max. Score	Actual Score
a) Library resources organization and maintenance of books, journals, reports; Provision of library reader- services, literature retrieval services to researchers and analysis of reports; Provision of assistance to the departments of University/College with the required inputs for preparing reports, manuals and related documents; Assistance towards updating institutional website with activity related information and for bringing out institutional Newsletters, etc. (40 Points) Development, organization and management of e-resources including their accessibility over Intranet / Internet, digitization of library		Actual hours spent per academic year ÷	60	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷	55	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷

resources, e-delivery of information, etc (15 Points) User awareness and instruction programmes (Orientation lectures, users' training in the use of library services as e-resources, OPAC; knowledge resources user promotion programmes like organizing book exhibitions, other interactive latest learning resources, etc. (15 Points)						
b) ICT and other new technologies' application for upgradation of library services such as automation of catalogue, learning resources procurement functions, circulation operations including membership records, serial subscription system, reference and information services, library security (technology based methods such as RFID, CCTV), development of library management tools (software), Intranet management	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10
c). Additional services such as extending library facilities on holidays, shelf order maintenance, library user manual, building and extending institutional library facilities to outsiders through external membership norms	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷	10	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷

CATEGORY II: PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT, CO-CURRICULAR AND EXTENSION ACTIVITIES

Based on the Librarian Cadre's self-assessment, category II API scores are proposed for co-curricular and extension activities; and Professional development related contributions. A list of items and scores is given below. The self-assessment score should be based on objectively verifiable records and shall be finalized by the screening cum evaluation committee for the promotion of Assistant Librarian / College Librarian to higher grades and selection committee for the promotion of Assistant Librarian to Deputy Librarian and for direct recruitment of Deputy Librarian and Librarian.

The model table below gives groups of activities and API scores. Universities may detail the activities or, in case institutional specificities require, adjust the weightages without changing the minimum total API score required under this category.

Nature of Activity	Maximum API Score	Actual score
a) Student related co-curricular, extension and field based activities (such Cultural exchange and Library service Programmes (various level of extramural and intramural programmes); extension, library-literary work through different channels.	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10
b) Contribution to Corporate life and management of the library units and institution through participation in library and administrative committees and responsibilities.	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10
c) Professional Development activities (such as participation in seminars, conferences, short term, e- library training courses, workshops and events, talks, lectures, membership of associations, dissemination and general articles, not covered in Category III below)	15	Actual hours spent per academic year ÷ 10

CATEGORY-III: RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS

Based on the self-assessment, API scores are proposed for research and library contributions. The minimum API scores required from this category are different for different levels of promotion in universities/colleges. The self-assessment score shall be based on verifiable records and shall be finalized by the screening cum evaluation committee for the

promotion of Assistant Librarian / College Librarian to higher grades and Selection Committee for the promotion of Assistant Librarian to Deputy Librarian and for direct recruitment of Deputy Librarian and Librarian.

Category	Activity	University/College Librarians	Max.score *
III (A)	Research	Refereed Journals as notified by the UGC#	25 per Publication
	Publications in	Other Reputed Journals as notified by the UGC#	10 per Publication
III (B)		Text/Reference Books, published by International Publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	30 per Book for Single Author
	Publications other than journal articles	Subject Books, published by National level publishers with ISBN/ISSN number or State / Central Gov Publications as approved by the University and posted or its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	Author
	(books, chapters in books)	Subject Books, published by Other local publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	15 per Book for Single Author
		Chapters in Books, published by National and International level publishers, with ISBN/ISSN number as approved by the University and posted on its website. The List will be intimated to UGC.	International –10 per Chapter National – 5 per Chapter
III (C)	RESEARCH PROJ		
III (C) (i)	Sponsored Projects	Major Projects with grants above Rs. 5 lakhs	20 per Project
		Major Projects with grants above Rs.3 lakhs up to Rs.5 lakhs	15 per Project
		Minor Projects with grants above Rs. 1 lakh up to Rs.3 lakhs	10 per Project
III (C)(ii)	Consultancy Projects	Amount mobilized with a minimum of Rs. 2 lakhs	10 for every Rs.2 lakhs
III (C)(iii)	Projects Outcome / Outputs	Major Policy document prepared for international bodies like WHO/UNO/UNESCO/UNICEF etc. Central / State Govt./Local Bodies prepared	Major policy document of International bodies - 30 Central Government – 20, State Govt10 Local bodies – 5
III (D)	RESEARCH GUID	ANCE	
III(D)(i)	M.Phil.	Degree awarded	5 per candidate
III(D)(ii)	Ph.D.	Degree awarded / Thesis submitted	15 /10 per candidate
III E	Awards / Fellowship	os/Invited lectures delivered / papers presented in conference	es / seminars
	Award / Fellowship	International Award/Fellowship from academic bodies/associations	15 per Award / 15 per Fellowship
III(E) (i)	Award / Fellowship	National Award/Fellowship academic bodies/ associations	10 per Award / 10 per Fellowship
	Award/Fellowship	State / University Award/Fellowship from academic bodies/associations	5 Per Award
III(E) (ii)	Invited lectures / papers presented	International	7 per lecture / 5 per paper presented
		National level	5 per lecture / 3 per paper presented
		State/University level	3 per lecture / 2 per paper presented
	The score under this assessment period	sub-category shall be restricted to 20% of the minimum fix	ed for Category III for any

37

III(E)	Development of e-delivery process/material	10 per module	٦
(iii)			

* Wherever relevant, the API score for paper in refereed journal would be augmented as follows: (i) paper with impact factor less than 1 - by 5 points; (ii) papers with impact factor between 1 and 2 by 10 points; (iii) papers with impact factor between 2 and 5 by 15 points; (iv) papers with impact factor between 5 and 10 by 20 points: (v) papers with impact factor above 10 by 25 points. The API for joint publications/books shall be calculated in the following manner: Of the total score for the relevant category of publication by the concerned teacher, the First and Principal / corresponding author /supervisor / mentor of the teacher would share equally 70% of the total points and the remaining 30% would be shared equally by all other authors.

The University shall identify the journals subject-wise through subject expert committees and forward the recommendations to UGC in the format prescribed by UGC for approval of the UGC Standing Committee. The journals approved from this list, by the UGC Standing Committee, shall be included in the "List of Journals" notified by the UGC. The UGC Standing Committee shall give its recommendations within 60 working days of the receipt of the list from the University. The UGC Standing Committee may also, suo motu, recommend journals for inclusion in the "List of Journals". The clause 6.0.5 (i) will be strictly followed by the University.

APPENDIX - III TABLE - VIII (A) MINIMUM APIS FOR THE CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) PROMOTION OF ASSISTANT/COLLEGE LIBRARIAN AND DEPUTY LIBRARIAN AND WEIGHTAGES FOR EXPERT ASSESSMENT IN SELECTION COMMITTEES, IN UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

Category	Activity	Assistant / College Librarian (Stage 1 to Stage 2)	Assistant / College Librarian (Stage 2 to Stage 3)	Assistant/College Librarian (Stage 3) to Deputy/College Librarian (Stage 4)	Deputy Librarian (Stage 4) to Librarian (Stage 5)
I	Procurement, organization, and delivery of knowledge and information through Library services	80/Year	80/year	75/year	70/year
II	Professional Development and Extension activities - Minimum score required to be assessed cumulatively	50/ Assessment period	50 / Assessment period	50 / Assessment period	50 / Assessment period
III	Research and Academic Contributions – Minimum Score required - to be assessed cumulatively	20 / Assessment period	50 / Assessment period	75 / Assessment period	100 / Assessment period
II + III	Minimum total API score under Categories II and III*	90 / Assessment period	120 / Assessment period	150 / Assessment period	180 / Assessment period
	Expert Assessment System	Screening cum evaluation committee	Screening cum evaluation committee	Selection Committee	Selection Committee
IV	Percentage Distribution of Weightage Points in the Expert Assessment (Total weightage = 100.	No separate points. Screening committee to verify API scores	No separate points. Screening committee to verify API scores	30% - Library related research papers evaluation 50% - Assessment of domain knowledge on Library automation and	50% Library publication work 30% Assessment of innovative Library service and organization of digital library

Minimum required	Organizational skills	services 20%
50))	20 % - Interview	Interview
	performance	performance

^{*} One may score the balance points from either Category II or Category III to achieve the minimum score required under Category II+ III.

APPENDIX - III TABLE – VIII (B)

Minimum APIs and Other Norms for the Direct Recruitment of Librarian Positions in University Departments/Colleges and weightages in Selection Committees to be considered along with other specified eligibility qualifications stipulated in the Regulation.

Minimum Norm / Criteria	Assistant University Librarian / College Librarian (Stage 1)	Deputy Librarian in universities (Stage 4)	Librarian (university only) (Stage 5)
API score (Research and Academic Contribution - Category III)	Minimum Qualification as stipulated in the regulations	Consolidated API score requirement of 300 points from categories II & III of APIs (cumulative)	Consolidated API score requirement of 400 points from categories II & III of APIs (cumulative)
Selection Committee criteria/weightages (Total weightage = 100)	a) Teaching / computer and communication skills by a Lecture demonstration (50%) b) Record of Library management skills (20%) c) Interview performance(30%)	a) Library related Research / Theme papers (3 Nos) Evaluation: (50%) .b) Library automation skills and Organizational Plans (20%) .c) Interview performance (30%)	a) Library Research papers (Five) evaluation (60%) b) organizational track record of innovation library service and vision plan (20%) c) Interview performance (20%)

APPENDIX-III - TABLE IX MINIMUM ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND SERVICE REQUIREMENTS FOR PROMOTION OF LIBRARIAN CADRES IN UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

Sl.No.	Promotion of Librarian Cadres through CAS	Service (as prescribed by the MHRD Notification) requirement	Minimum Academic Performance Requirements and Screening/Selection Criteria
1	Assistant Librarian/College Librarian to Assistant Librarian (Senior Scale) / College Librarian (Senior Scale) (Stage 1 to Stage 2)	Assistant Librarian/College Librarian completed four years of service in Stage 1 with Ph.D. or five years of service with M.Phil. or six years of service without Ph.D./ M.Phil	Table VIII (A) of Appendix III for Librarian cadres in universities and for college Librarian cadres. (II)One Orientation and one Refresher Course of 3/4 weeks duration
2.	Assistant Librarian (senior scale) / College Librarian (senior scale) to Assistant Librarian (selection grade) / College Librarian (selection grade) (Stage 2 to Stage 3)	Assistant Librarian (senior scale) / College Librarian (senior scale) with completed service of five years in Stage 2	(i) Minimum API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by University as per the norms provided in Table VIII (A) of Appendix III for Librarian Cadres in universities and for college librarian cadres. (ii) Additionally, two refresher courses, for a minimum period of 3 to 4 week duration to have been undergone during the assessment period. (iii) Screening cum Verification process for recommending promotion.
3.	Assistant Librarian (Selection Grade) / College Librarian (Selection Grade)	Deputy Librarian / Assistant Librarian (Selection Grade) / College Librarian	(i) Minimum API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by university as per the norms provided in Table VIII (A) of Appendix III. Three publications over twelve years. In Colleges, an exemption of one publication

to Deputy Librarian / College Librarian(Selection Grade)(Stage 3 to Stage 4)	three years of completed service in	will be given to M. Phil holders and two publications to Ph. D. Holders. (ii) Additionally one course/training under the categories of Library automation / Analytical tool Development for academic documentation. (iii) A selection committee process as stipulated in the Regulation and in Table VIII (A)
4. University Librarian (Stage 5) (For universities only)	Deputy Librarian in universities with three years of completed service in Stage 4.	 (i) Minimum cumulative API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the UGC as per the norms provided in Table VIII (A). Librarians may combine two assessment periods (in Stages 3 and 4) to achieve minimum API scores, if required. (ii) A minimum of five publications since the period that the teacher is placed in stage 3 (iii) Evidence of innovative library service and organization of published work. (iv) A selection committee process as stipulated in the

Note: The explanatory note provided for Table IIA for CAS for teachers is also applicable for the Librarian cadres as per the API score specified for this cadre.

National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) Student Satisfaction Survey

Key Indicator - 2.7.1 Under Criterion II of Teaching – Learning and Evaluation

Guidelines for Students

NAAC (National Assessment and accreditation council) is conducting a Student Satisfaction Survey regarding Teaching – Learning and Evaluation, which will help to upgrade the quality in higher education. A student will have to respond to all the questions given in the following format with her/his sincere effort and thought. Her/his identity will not be revealed.

A) Please conf	firm this is	s the first an	d only ti	me you	ı answer	this survey.	
a)	Yes		b) No				
B) Age:	C) College Na	ame:				
D) Gender:	a) Female	e 🗆 b) Ma	le		c) Tran	isgender	
,		are you put b) Ma c) Oth	ster's	ow?)	c) MPhil	
F) What subje a) Arts d) Prof		•	tly pursu mmerce e) Othe		□)	c) Science	

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

Criterion II – Teaching–Learning and Evaluation

Student Satisfaction Survey on Teaching Learning Process

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

- How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
 4 85 to 100%
 3 70 to 84%
 2 55 to 69%
 - 1– 30 to 54% 0 –Below 30%
- 2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?
 - 4 Thoroughly
 - 3 Satisfactorily
 - 2 Poorly
 - 1 Indifferently
 - 0 Won't teach at all
- 3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?
 - 4 Always effective
 - 3 Sometimes effective
 - 2 Just satisfactorily
 - 1– Generally ineffective
 - 0– Very poor communication
- 4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as
 - 4– Excellent
 - 3 Very good
 - 2 Good
 - 1 Fair
 - 0-Poor

- 5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. 4 Always fair
 - 3 Usually fair
 - 5 Osually fair
 - 2 Sometimes unfair
 - 1 Usually unfair
 - 0– Unfair
- 6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?
 - 4 Every time
 - 3 Usually
 - 2 Occasionally/Sometimes
 - 1 Rarely
 - 0-Never
- 7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students.
 - 4-Regularly
 - 3 Often
 - 2 Sometimes
 - 1 Rarely
 - 0-Never
- 8. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.
 - 4 Significantly
 - 3 Very well
 - 2 Moderately
 - 1 Marginally
 - 0- Not at all
- 9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.
 - 4 Strongly agree
 - 3 Agree
 - 2 Neutral
 - 1 Disagree
 - 0- Strongly disagree

- 10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.
 - 4 Every time
 - 3 Usually
 - 2-Occasionally/Sometimes
 - 1 Rarely
 - 0– Never
- 11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.
 - 4 -Every time
 - 3 Usually
 - 2-Occasionally/Sometimes
 - 1 Rarely
 - 0 I don't have a mentor
- 12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.
 - 4 -Every time
 - 3 Usually
 - 2-Occasionally/Sometimes
 - 1– Rarely
 - 0 Never
- 13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.
 - 4 Fully
 - 3 Reasonably
 - 2 Partially
 - 1 Slightly
 - 0- Unable to
- 14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.
 - 4 -Every time
 - 3 Usually
 - 2-Occasionally/Sometimes
 - 1 Rarely
 - 0 Never

- 15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.
 - 4 Strongly agree
 - 3 Agree
 - 2 Neutral
 - 1 Disagree
 - 0 Strongly disagree
- 16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.
 - 4 To a great extent
 - 3 Moderate
 - 2 Some what
 - 1 Very little
 - 0 Not at all
- 17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.
 - 4 Strongly agree
 - 3 Agree
 - 2 Neutral
 - 1 Disagree
 - 0 Strongly disagree
- 18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.
 - 4 -To a great extent
 - 3 Moderate
 - 2 -Some what
 - 1 Very little
 - 0 Not at all
- 19. What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.
 - 4 Above 90%
 - 3 70 89%
 - 2 50 69%
 - 1 30 49%
 - 0 Below 29%

20. The over	rall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.
4	–Strongly agree
3	– Agree
	– Neutral
1 -	– Disagree
	- Strongly disagree
	three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching — learning experience ur institution.
a)	
b)	

c)

Suggestion/Complaint Boxes







